IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 125 OF 2005.
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 3904 OF 2001.

Bank of India. ... Petitioner.

V/s.

Bank of India Staff Union
and another. ... Respondents.

R.S.Pai with Ms.Sushama Joshi i/b. Haresh Mehta
and Co. for the petitioner.

N.V.Vechalekar for respondent No.1.

CORAM: V.C.DAGA, J.

DATED: 29th November 2005.

P.C.:

. Heard.

. Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith by consent of parties.

. It is not in dispute that the punishment inflicted by the petitioner-bank has already been executed. The clock is sought to be put back by virtue of the award impugned in the petition. If the petition fails and the award is allowed to be executed then respondent No.1 would be enititled to some monetary benefits. Deprivation of monetary benefits during the pendency of the petition can be compensated in terms of money.

. In this view of the matter, if the interim stay as prayed is granted, no prejudice would be caused to respondent No.1. Hence interim relief as prayed.

(V.C.DAGA, J.)